### FEEDBACK FORM

## Review of the Survey of Employer Use and Views of the VET System

**Instructions**

This feedback form accompanies the *Review of the Survey of Employer Use and Views of the VET System: discussion paper* <[http://www.ncver.edu.au/publications/2349.html](file:///C%3A%5Cpublications%5C2349.html)>.

This form is intended for feedback on the boxed questions in each section of the discussion paper. It is also the place to raise issues not covered in the paper. It is not necessary to respond to all the questions in this form – only those areas of interest to you and your organisation. Feel free to delete those not applicable.

***Once completed, please save this form, with the name of your organisation and the date as part of the header, and email to*** ***toni.rittie@ncver.edu.au*** ***by close of business Friday 8 April 2011.***

**Contact details**

We require a contact person for each submission to clarify any questions that may arise.

Name: Chris Bayer

Position: Team Leader

Organisation: Data Analysis, Surveys and Planning, ACT DET

Address: GPO Box 158 Canberra ACT 2601

Phone: (02) 6205 9134

Email: chris.bayer@act.gov.au

**Publication permission**

Please note that all responses will be consolidated and made available on the NCVER website unless advised otherwise. Responses will only be identified by organisation. Do you give permission for this submission to be made publically available?

 **√**Yes, including my organization

 Yes, but not identifying my organisation

 No, this submission is not to be made publically available

**Feedback relating to issues in the discussion paper**

**1.** Purpose of the survey

1.1 From a policy perspective, interest will remain in collecting information on employers' engagement and satisfaction with the VET system. Are there any other areas of employer’ interaction with the VET system that are of interest from a policy/research perspective?

1.2 What information does your organisation need to better understand the relationship between employers and the VET system?

2. Data items currently collected in the survey

2.1 What information do you use from the survey (if any)?

2.2 Do you agree with the priorities we have assigned the current data items?

2.3 Do you agree with the data items we have ranked as high priority and are proposing to retain?

2.4 Do you agree with the data items we have ranked as low priority and are proposing to remove? If not, have you used any of this information in the past? How do you propose using this information in the future if the questions are retained?

2.5 Are there any data items we have ranked as medium priority that you believe should be removed from the survey?

2.6 Are there any data items you consider should be added to the survey? How would you use this information?

3. Scope and methodology

3.1 Does the current scope satisfy your needs from a policy/research perspective?

3.2 Do you favour a mixed mode approach for the survey (both telephone and online)?

3.3 What levels of accuracy do you require from the survey?

3.4 Would you favour a shorter survey in exchange for more accurate estimates?

4. Options for 2013 onwards

* 1. What are your views on having a core set of questions (as noted in table 2 of the paper) each year with the option for including a separate module on a topic of interest?

4.2 Do you have any suggestions for issues that could be included in a question module approach, either past or present?

Additional feedback or issues:

Please list feedback on any other issues you would like covered in the review.

*Note: For NCVER to make maximum use of this information, it is important to outline why this issue needs to be considered, what changes you would propose making and why and how it would benefit the survey.*